- JOHNSON, C. K. (1963). 1620 Programs from I.C.R. I.C.R. 10. Absorption Factors for a Crystal Ground as an Ellipsoid of Revolution.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP: A Fortran Thermal-Ellipsoid Plot Program for Crystal Structure Illustrations. ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- KLINMAN, J. P. & ROSE, I. A. (1971). Biochemistry. 10, 2259.
- PETERSON, S. W. & LEVY, H. A. (1958). J. Chem. Phys. 29, 948.
- PRATT, L. & SMITH, B. B. (1967). Trans. Faraday Soc. 63, 2858.
- RAO, M. R. R. & ALTEKAR, W. W. (1961). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 4, 101.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963a). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963b). Paper G-2, Amer. Cryst. Assoc. Meeting, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass., March 28-30.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 425

# The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Planteose Dihydrate

#### BY D.C. ROHRER

Department of Crystallography, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213, U.S.A.

#### (Received 3 March 1971)

The crystal structure of the nonreducing trisaccharide planteose dihydrate, O-a-D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -O- $\beta$ -D-fructofuranosyl- $(2 \rightarrow 1)$ - $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>16</sub>. 2H<sub>2</sub>O), has been determined using a combination of Patterson superposition techniques and tangent-phase refinement. Threedimensional intensities were measured on a Picker FACS-I diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation. The crystals are orthorhombic with space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . Unit-cell constants are a = 32.43 (1), b = 8.152 (2), and c = 8.711 (2) Å. Measured density,  $D_m = 1.547$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>, is consistent with the calculated density,  $D_x = 1.531$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>, for a unit cell containing four sugar molecules and eight water molecules. The final R is 3.6% for 2197 reflections. The molecule has a circular conformation with O(6) of the glucose and O(6') of the galactose, at the opposite end, both hydrogen bonded to the same hydroxyl. O(2)H, of an adjacent molecule. Both pyranosyl rings have the expected  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  (C1) conformation. The fructofuranosyl ring has a twisted  ${}_{3}T^{4}$  conformation, which places the O(3')H hydroxyl in the equatorial plane of the ring. Conformational similarities of the  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$  linkage in the sucrose moieties of planteose and raffinose are more easily recognized using the pseudotorsional angles between C(1) and C(2'), defined herein, than using the torsional angles involving O(1). The largest difference in the pseudotorsional angles between the two molecules is only 12° compared to differences as large as 36° in the torsional angles involving O(1). The  $(1 \rightarrow 6)$  linkage between the fructose and galactose portions has antiperiplanar conformation similar to the other two known crystal structures containing this type of linkage. Most of the hydroxyls both donate and accept a hydrogen bond with the fructofuranosyl ring oxygen atom also acting as a hydrogen-bond acceptor. One water oxygen atom both accepts and donates two hydrogen bonds, while the other water oxygen atom only accepts and donates one hydrogen bond.

#### Introduction

Planteose,  $O - \alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ - $O - \beta$ -D-fructofuranosyl- $(2 \rightarrow 1)$ - $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>16</sub>), is a nonreducing trisaccharide first isolated from the seeds of *Plantago major* and *Plantago ovata* (Wattiez & Hans, 1943). It is a member of the raffinose family of oligosaccharides, which occur in a variety of plants and are found primarily in seeds, roots, and underground stems, where they probably act as reserve carbohydrates (French, 1954). As shown in (I), the configuration of planteose can be subdivided into two disaccharide portions: sucrose and planteobiose. Raffinose and planteose are structural isomers having the  $\alpha$ -Dgalactopyranosyl portion linked to C(6') of the fructose in planteose, seen in (I) below, whereas in raffinose, it is linked to O(6) of the glucose portion. The structure of sucrose has been accurately determined, crystallographically, as sucrose itself (Brown & Levy, 1963), in raffinose pentahydrate (Berman, 1970), in 1-kestose (Jeffrey & Park, 1971), and now in planteose dihydrate. No previous crystallographic study relating to the planteobiose part of the molecule has been made.



#### Crystal data

Planteose dihydrate,  $C_{18}H_{32}O_{16}.2H_2O$ M.W. 504·4, m.p. 123 °C Orthorhombic Space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , from systematic absences h00, 0k0, and 00!=2n+1 $a=32\cdot43$  (1),  $b=8\cdot152$  (2),  $c=8\cdot711$  (2) Å Z=4 $D_m=1\cdot547$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $D_x=1\cdot531$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>  $\mu_{Cu Kz}=12\cdot2$  cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\lambda=1\cdot5418$  Å)

#### Experimental

Large prismatic crystals of planteose dihydrate were kindly provided by Dr Dexter French of the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Iowa State University. The density was determined to be 1.547 g.cm<sup>-3</sup> using the flotation technique in a CHCl<sub>3</sub>/CBr<sub>4</sub> solvent mixture. The dimensions of the crystal used for data collection were  $0.25 \times 0.36 \times 0.47$  mm. The unit-cell parameters and intensity data were measured on a Picker FACS-I diffractometer, using Ni-filtered Cu K $\alpha$  radiation and the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scan method for data collection. Of the 2314 independent data, which were collected to a maximum  $2\theta$  value of  $130^\circ$ , 102 were determined to be unobserved by the criterion of the measured intensity not exceeding two standard deviations, calculated from intensity counting statistics. The data were reduced to structure-factor amplitudes and *E*'s using an IBM 1130 program (Shiono, 1969), without applying absorption corrections.

### Structure determination and refinement

The crystal structure of planteose dihydrate was solved by combining Patterson superposition techniques and tangent-phase refinement. A Patterson superposition program (Seeman, 1970) was used to locate seven possible atomic positions from an  $(E^2 - 1)$  Patterson map. Phases calculated from these seven positions for the 100 reflections with highest *E* values were refined, using the tangent formula and the phase-extension method of Hall (1967). Ten cycles of refinement, which expanded the number of reflections to 328, resulted in an *E* map showing all the nonhydrogen atoms, except one of the water oxygen atoms. A comparison of these coordinates with the seven starting positions revealed that only four of the seven were correct. This reconfirms (Karle, 1968)

Table 1. Fractional atomic parameters and anisotropic thermal parameters ( $\times 10^5$ ) in planteose dihydrate

Temperature-factor expression used was  $\exp \left[-(h^2\beta_{11}+k^2\beta_{22}+l^2\beta_{33}+2hk\beta_{12}+2hl\beta_{13}+2kl\beta_{23})\right]$ .

Numbers in parentheses refer to the e.s.d. of that parameter.

	$x \times 10^{5}$	$y \times 10^4$	$z \times 10^4$	$\beta_{11}$	$\beta_{22}$	$\beta_{33}$	$\beta_{12}$	$\beta_{13}$	$\beta_{23}$
C(1)	15393 (7)	1969 (3)	5025 (3)	38 (2)	700 (37)	619 (33)	3 (8)	- 22 (7)	61 (33)
C(2)	16976 (8)	924 (3)	3702 (3)	58 (2)	625 (38)	609 (33)	7 (8)	-33(8)	4 (32)
C(3)	21595 (8)	1114 (3)	3558 (3)	54 (2)	778 (42)	524 (32)	51 (8)	-16(8)	-70(33)
C(4)	22701 (8)	2908 (3)	3395 (3)	43 (2)	834 (41)	576 (32)	26 (8)	4 (7)	54 (33)
C(5)	20785 (8)	3939 (3)	4679 (3)	42 (2)	704 (40)	631 (33)	15 (8)	-11(7)	12 (33)
C(6)	21383 (9)	5733 (4)	4340 (4)	68 (3)	753 (42)	849 (38)	1 (9)	25 (9)	15 (37)
O(1)	17130 (5)	1419 (2)	6423 (2)	36 (2)	889 (29)	480 (22)	3 (6)	2 (5)	88 (24)
O(2)	16007 (6)	-757 (2)	3923 (3)	67 (2)	581 (28)	1170 (32)	6 (6)	-65(7)	- 75 (26)
O(3)	23026 (6)	221 (3)	2240 (2)	63 (2)	1099 (35)	616 (24)	118 (7)	-20(6)	-212(27)
O(4)	27048 (6)	3132 (3)	3438 (2)	40 (2)	1308 (36)	759 (25)	4 (7)	22 (6)	-41(29)
O(5)	16451 (6)	3632 (2)	4775 (2)	40 (2)	553 (26)	740 (24)	32 (6)	19 (6)	49 (23)
O(6)	19854 (7)	6731 (2)	5565 (2)	98 (2)	728 (31)	807 (27)	75 (7)	-69(7)	-210(27)
C(1')	13277 (8)	-676(3)	7682 (3)	54 (2)	658 (38)	752 (36)	5 (9)	25 (8)	-80(34)
C(2')	14446 (7)	1122 (3)	7711 (3)	36 (2)	605 (38)	617 (32)	15 (8)	22 (7)	9 (31)
C(3')	16660 (8)	1699 (3)	9149 (3)	34 (2)	621 (39)	656 (32)	28 (8)	3 (7)	27 (31)
C(4′)	15634 (8)	3518 (3)	9192 (3)	46 (2)	631 (39)	680 (33)	16 (8)	-34(7)	- 57 (32)
C(5')	11148 (8)	3503 (3)	8661 (3)	47 (2)	578 (36)	628 (33)	12 (8)	-19(8)	-170(32)
C(6')	9961 (8)	5027 (3)	7815 (4)	45 (2)	666 (39)	1089 (43)	14 (8)	-67(9)	-20(37)
O(1')	11062 (6)	-1134(2)	9018 (2)	59 (2)	742 (30)	820 (27)	-17(7)	25 (6)	138 (25)
O(2')	10840 (5)	2078 (2)	7646 (2)	33 (1)	592 (25)	638 (24)	12 (5)	-30(5)	-114(22)
O(3')	20917 (6)	1322 (3)	9142 (2)	42 (2)	974 (32)	719 (25)	71 (6)	-39(6)	-47(26)
O(4′)	16125 (6)	4125 (3)	10698 (2)	75 (2)	896 (32)	808 (27)	29 (7)	-98(7)	-285(27)
O(6')	5736 (5)	4934 (2)	7356 (2)	41 (2)	637 (28)	892 (27)	28 (6)	-41(6)	46 (26)
C(1'')	4375 (8)	6439 (3)	6727 (3)	57 (2)	696 (39)	785 (36)	49 (8)	-22(8)	-12(35)
C(2'')	-98(8)	6277 (4)	6232 (3)	49 (2)	1042 (45)	810 (37)	51 (9)	1 (8)	64 (38)
C(3'')	- 505 (8)	5057 (4)	4923 (3)	51 (2)	1096 (47)	899 (39)	-28(9)	-21(9)	50 (41)
C(4'')	2318 (9)	5501 (4)	3604 (3)	61 (3)	993 (46)	806 (39)	-34(9)	8 (9)	-46(40)
C(5'')	6671 (8)	5728 (4)	4198 (4)	57 (3)	813 (42)	936 (40)	3 (9)	3 (9)	-76(38)
C(6'')	9710 (9)	6294 (4)	3004 (4)	64 (3)	1253 (52)	1250 (48)	- 58 (11)	32 (10)	- 61 (46)
O(2'')	-2573 (6)	5791 (3)	7506 (2)	52 (2)	1710 (43)	820 (29)	78 (8)	29 (6)	202 (33)
O(3'')	- 4611 (6)	5012 (4)	4343 (3)	47 (2)	2474 (57)	920 (30)	-88(9)	-29(6)	0 (40)
O(4'')	1054 (6)	6969 (3)	2866 (3)	73 (2)	1272 (38)	1015 (32)	0 (8)	-45(7)	326 (33)
O(5″)	6783 (6)	6902 (2)	5440 (2)	54 (2)	651 (27)	841 (27)	-11 (6)	- 14 (6)	25 (25)
O(6'')	9339 (6)	7977 (3)	2585 (3)	61 (2)	1469 (40)	1192 (34)	- 57 (8)	-56(7)	481 (34)
O(W1)	32438 (7)	2428 (3)	5712 (3)	78 (2)	1207 (37)	896 (29)	2 (7)	2 (7)	140 (30)
O(W2)	4470 (11)	735 (5)	4350 (3)	197 (5)	2611 (71)	1167 (41)	172 (16)	-111(12)	-317(51)



Fig.1. Molecular conformation and numbering of atoms in planteose dihydrate.

that tangent-refinement procedures can overcome relatively large errors in the starting phase set.

Structure factors, calculated with the 35 atomic positions obtained from the *E* map, resulted in an *R*  $(=\sum ||F_o| - |F_c||/\sum |F_o|)$  of 34%. Two cycles of isotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement, followed by the calculation of a difference Fourier map, revealed the



Fig. 2. Bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) in planteose dihydrate.

location of the missing water oxygen atom. Two more cycles of refinement with this atom included lowered R to 9.4%. Successive cycles of anisotropic blockdiagonal least-squares refinement and difference map calculations were necessary to locate the 36 hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were assigned the isotropic thermal parameter of their parent atoms and were included in the refinement, varying positional parameters but holding thermal parameters fixed. Additional cycles of mixed-mode block-diagonal refinement resulted in an R of 3.9%. At this point, 15 reflections with very large structure-factor amplitudes were removed from the data because they appeared to be affected by secondary extinction. Two final cycles of full-matrix refinement, holding all hydrogen parameters fixed, resulted in no significant parameter shifts and gave an R of 3.6%for the observed reflections and 4.5% for all reflections. The final atomic parameters are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Final structure factors are given in Table 3. A Hughes (1941) weighting scheme was used throughout with an  $F_{\min}$  of 4.0. The scattering factors used for carbon and oxygen were those of Cromer & Waber (1965); and for hydrogen, those of Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965).

#### **Molecular conformation**

The structural and conformational features of the planteose molecule are illustrated in Fig. 1. The glucose, fructose, and galactose portions of the molecule are denoted by unprimed, single-primed, and double-primed atom designators respectively. The molecule has a circular conformation, with the glucopyranosyl and galactopyranosyl portions extending away from the central fructofuranosyl ring. However, the terminal primary hydroxyls, O(6)H and O(6'')H, on the pyranosyl rings are close enough to form hydrogen bonds with the same hydroxyl, O(2), on an adjacent molecule, closing the circle.

# Table 2. Fractional atomic parameters and isotropic thermal parameters of the hydrogen atoms in planteose dihydrate

The isotropic temperature-factor expression used was exp  $[-B(\sin \theta / \lambda)^2]$ . Standard deviations are given in parentheses.

	$x \times 10^4$	y x 10 <sup>5</sup>	$Z \times 10^{5}$	D
HC(1)	1274 (12)	192 (5)	505 (5)	1·70 Å2
HC(2)	1567 (12)	129 (5)	270 (5)	1.90
HC(3)	2300 (11)	59 (5)	441 (5)	1·7C
HC(4)	2174 (12)	338 (5)	248 (5)	1.90
HC(5)	2197 (12)	358 (5)	567 (5)	1.79
HC(6)	2461 (13)	587 (6)	416 (5)	2.59
H'C(6)	1977 (13)	614 (6)	334 (5)	2.59
HO(2)	1310 (13)	-101 (6)	361 (5)	2.50
HO(3)	2501 (13)	-22(6)	253 (5)	2.20
HO(4)	2760 (12)	301 (5)	265 (5)	2.09
HOG	1881 (13)	759 (6)	512 (5)	2.70
HC(1')	1593 (12)	-130(5)	750 (5)	1.90
H'CUY	1151 (12)	- 86 (5)	678 (5)	1.90
HC(3')	1530 (12)	118 (5)	1004 (5)	1.79
HC(4')	1736 (12)	400 (Š)	841 (5)	2.20
HC(5')	888 (11)	326 (5)	949 (4)	1.59
HC(6')	1193 (12)	510 (5)	685 (5)	2.20
H'C(6')	1049 (12)	597 (5)	848 (5)	2.20
HOÙÝ	1280 (12)	-141(5)	970 (5)	2.09
HO(3')	2159 (12)	104 (6)	1005 (5)	2.29
HO(4')	1829 (13)	458 (6)	1074 (5)	2.90
HC(1")	489 (12)	732 (5)	753 (5)	1.90
HC(2'')	-121(13)	746 (5)	583 (5)	2.29
HC(3'')	-10(12)	403 (5)	523 (5)	2.40
HC(4'')	227 (13)	464 (6)	281 (5)	2.40
HC(5")	759 (12)	466 (5)	469 (5)	2.20
HC(6")	899 (13)	573 (6)	205 (5)	3.20
H'C(6'')	1250 (14)	615 (6)	337 (5)	3.20
HO(2")	- 518 (14)	635 (6)	733 (5)	2.90
HO(3'')	- 656 (14)	471 (6)	520 (6)	3.20
HO(4")	-105 (13)	677 (6)	227 (5)	3.00
HO(6")	692 (14)	825 (6)	220 (5)	3.29
HO(W1)	3125 (13)	182 (6)	656 (5)	2.90
H'O(W1)	3083 (14)	253 (6)	495 (6)	2.90
HO(W2)	142 (18)	19 (8)	430 (7)	5.79
$H'O(W^2)$	396 (17)	71 (8)	560 (7)	5.79

The bond lengths and angles for planteose are given in Fig. 2. The estimated errors in the C-C and C-O bond lengths are 0.004 and 0.003 Å, respectively, and the error in the nonhydrogen-containing bond angles is about 0.2°. The average C-C and C-OH bonds in the two pyranosides are 1.517 and 1.426 Å, respectively, which are in good agreement with earlier values (Berman, Chu & Jeffrey, 1967; Jeffrey & Rosenstein, 1964). The C-O bonds in both pyranoside rings show the same type of systematic disproportion observed in other  $\alpha$ pyranoside structures (Berman *et al.*, 1967): the C(5)-O(5) bonds in the  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside and  $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl rings are significantly longer than the corresponding O(5)-C(1) bonds, by 0.014 and 0.026 Å, respectively.

The corresponding C–O bonds in the  $\beta$ -D-fructofuranosyl ring show the same type of anomeric effect observed in the pyranosyl rings. However, the disproportion is very much larger (0.056 Å). The anomeric disproportion also seems to affect the C(5')–C(6') bond, causing a shortening of 0.021 Å from the average value. A correlation between the C(3')–C(2')–O(1) angle and the exocyclic C(2')–O(1) bond length involved in the anomeric effect has been reported by Sundaralingam (1965). Using this correlation, the C(2') –O(1) bond length in planteose (1.440 Å) agrees very well with the value of 1.442 Å predicted for a deviation of – 12.5° in the angle away from 120°. The other fructofuranosyl C–C and C–O bonds fall into the range of values normally observed (Sundaralingam, 1968).

The pyranosyl rings have the expected  ${}^{4}C_{1}^{*}(C1)$ chair conformation, with the ring torsional angles ranging from 52.3 to 60.8° (Table 4) in the glucopyranosyl ring and from 52.3 to 60.6° in the galactopyranosyl ring, with respective averages of 56.1 and 56.4°. The furanosyl ring has a twisted  ${}_{3}T^{4}$  conformation (Fig. 3), with C(3') primarily displaced on the *exo* side of the ring by 0.402 Å and C(4') secondarily displaced on the opposite side by 0.232 Å (Table 5). The same conformation is observed in raffinose pentahydrate with the relative magnitudes reversed, giving a  ${}^{4}T_{3}$  conformation. The effect of this difference is to place O(3') in the equatorial plane of the ring in planteose, rather than O(4') as it is in raffinose. The furanosyl ring in sucrose has a  ${}_{3}T^{4}$  conformation very similar to that found in plante-

<sup>\*</sup> The nomenclature used to denote the conformation of the furanosyl and pyranosyl rings is that proposed by the American Chemical Society Committee on Carbohydrate Nomenclature (1969), with the following modification in the twist-form of the furanosyl ring proposed by Sundaralingam (1971): the number appearing to the left of the T denotes the primarily displaced atom and the number to the right denotes the secondarily displaced atom. If both atoms are equally displaced, both numbers appear to the right of the T.



Fig. 3. View of the  ${}_{3}T^{4}$  conformation of the  $\beta$ -D-fructofuranosyl ring.

ose, while in the sucrose portion of 1-kestose, it lies between  $E_4$  and  $_4T^3$ .

The greatest degree of conformational freedom in a polysaccharide is in the bonds which link the various

monosaccharide units together. Therefore, the conformational analysis of these linkages in smaller molecules is of primary importance for extrapolation to macromolecules.

## Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors

Columns are: the h index,  $10|F_{obs}|$ ,  $10|F_{calc}|$ . Unobserved reflections are indicated by \*; reflections removed from the final refinement are indicated by †.

|--|--|

#### Table 4. Torsional angles for the pyranosyl rings

Torsional angle A(1)-A(2)-A(3)-A(4) is viewed down the A(2)-A(3) bond with a clockwise angle of A(1) to A(4) taken to be positive.

			~						•	
	~	۱.	~ ~	113.	$n \cap n$	1720	nac		rina	
ч	"		(2-1)-11			vid		~ .	11112	
			~~~~		•• •					

	O(5)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	58·2°
	C(1) - C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	<b>−</b> 55·0
	C(2) - C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	52.5
	C(3) - C(4) - C(5) - O(5)	-52.3
	C(4) - C(5) - O(5) - C(1)	57.5
	C(5) - O(5) - C(1) - C(2)	- 60.8
	Average	56.1
(b) α-D-G	alactopyranosyl ring	
	O(5'')-C(1'')-C(2'')-C(3'')	56.7
	C(1'') - C(2'') - C(3'') - C(4'')	-54.2
	C(2'') = C(3'') = C(4'') = C(5'')	52.3
	C(3'') - C(4'') - C(5'') - O(5'')	- 54.1
	C(4'') - C(5'') - O(5'') - C(1'')	60.5
	C(5'') = O(5'') = C(1'') = C(2'')	- 60.6
	$\Delta$ verage	56.4
	/ i voi ugo	504

Table	5.	Three	atom	planes	calcula	ated j	for	the j	fructo-
	fure	anosyl	ring in	the for	ur sucr	ose si	truci	tures	5

	Displacement			
	Planteose	Raffinose	Sucrose	1-Kestose
C(1')	−0·915 Å	–1.050 Å	—0·984 Å	—1·325 Å
C(2')	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
C(3')	-0.402	-0.248	-0.372	0.094
C(4')	0.232	0.409	0.208	-0·389
C(5')	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
C(6')	1.064	0.948	1.049	1.348
O(2')	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
O(3')	-0·019	0.295	0.021	1.423
O(4')	-0.421	0.043	-0.463	-1.802
L	0.3932	-0.1257	0.5768	0.1168
Μ	0.5351	0.5484	0.7949	<i>−</i> 0·9931
Ν	-0.7476	-0.8266	-0.1878	-0.0087
D	-2.6902	-3.3511	1.2162	7·0155
Con-				
formation	$_{3}T^{4}$	${}^{4}T_{3}$	$_{3}T^{4}$	$E_4$ or $_4T^3$

\* Atoms included in the calculation of the plane. The equation of the plane is of the form Lx+My+Nz=D, where L, M, and N are the direction cosines of the normal to the plane, and D (Å) is the perpendicular distance from the plane to the origin.

The conformational torsion angles of the  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ linkage of sucrose in the four accurately known structures are given in Table 6(a). Comparison of these results gives the impression that there is very little conformational similarity between these four structures. The closest correlation is between planteose and sucrose. The conformations no longer seem as dissimilar, however, if the bonding oxygen O(1) is ignored and the conformations are examined using a pseudolink between C(1) of the glucose and C(2') of the fructose. As shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b) and Table 6(b), the major difference in the sucrose conformation in planteose and raffinose is the position of oxygen O(1) and not in the relative orientation of the glucose and fructose units. It is also evident that the fructofuranosyl ring in sucrose itself, [Fig. 4(c)], is twisted under the pyranosyl ring more than in either planteose or raffinose. This is probably the result of the two intramolecular hydrogen bonds found in sucrose which are not found in the trisaccharides. Fig. 4(d) shows that the  $\alpha$ -D-fructofuranosyl substituent on O(1') in 1-kestose places a steric strain on the central fructose, forcing it away from the orientation found in the other three sucrose structures. Using the two pseudotorsional angles O(5)-C(1)-C(2')-O(2'),  $\varphi'_{OO}$ , and C(2)-C(1)---C(2')-C(3'),  $\varphi'_{CC}$ , the conformational similarity between planteose and raffinose is evident from the values for  $\varphi'_{00}$  of 70.6 and 82.1° and for  $\varphi'_{CC}$  of 114.3 and 116.8° respectively.

The  $(6 \rightarrow 1)$  linkage to the  $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl portion in planteose, C(5')-C(6')-O(6')-C(1''), has previously been observed only in raffinose. In both structures, it has the antiperiplanar arrangement (see Fig. 5). The planteobiose  $(1 \rightarrow 6)$  linkage of planteose has a torsional angles of  $172 \cdot 5^{\circ}$  and a root-mean-square displacement for the four-atom plane (Table 7) of only 0.04 Å. In raffinose, the angle is  $-169 \cdot 5^{\circ}$  and the r.m.s. displacement is 0.06 Å.

The hydroxymethyl groups also have interesting conformational features. The glucose C(6)–O(6)H has a  $\varphi_{OO}$  of 63.4° and a  $\varphi_{OC}$  of -176.4° or a gauche-trans conformation. This is the most favored form of the

Table 6. Torsional angles and pseudotorsional angles for the  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$  linkage in the four sucrose structures

Torsional angle is taken to be positive if the angle between A(1) and A(4) has a clockwise sense when viewed down the A(2)-A(3) bond. Pseudotorsional angle is defined similarly, except that a line between C(1) and C(2') is used in place of a bond.

	Planteose	Raffinose	Sucrose	1-Kestose
(a) Torsional angles				
C(1) - O(1) - C(2') - O(2')	-26·8°	11·4°	- 44·4°	-65·8°
C(1) = O(1) = C(2') = C(3')	-141.5	-105.5	- 159.6	176.7
C(1) - O(1) - C(2') - C(1')	93.2	129.5	73.9	53.3
O(5) - C(1) - O(1) - C(2')	1 <b>0</b> 8·7	81.7	107.6	84.6
C(2) - C(1) - O(1) - C(2')	-130.5	-157.5	-129.2	-152.9
(b) Pseudotorsional angles				
O(5)-C(1)C(2')-O(2')	70.6	82.1	52.0	15.2
C(2) - C(1) - C(2') - O(2')	-140.8	-139.2	-154.1	157.5
O(5) - C(1) - C(2') - C(3')	- 34.2	-21.8	- 57.3	-110.7
C(2) - C(1) - C(2') - C(3')	114.3	116.8	96.5	31.6
O(5) - C(1) C(2') - C(1')	1 <b>79·1</b>	169.8	158.9	121.4
C(2)-C(1)-C(2')-C(1')	-32.4	-31.1	-47.2	- 96·2



E.s.d.'s are shown in parentheses.

	Displacement			
	Planteose	Raffinose		
C(5′)	–0·043 (3) Å	0·06 (1) Å		
C(6')	0.042 (4)	<i>−</i> 0·06 (1)		
O(6′)	0.047 (2)	-0.06 (1)		
C(1'')	-0.046 (3)	0.06 (1)		
R.m.s. deviat	ion 0·044 (3)	0.063 (10)		
L	0.2758	0.2399		
М	-0.3718	0.9690		
Ν	-0.8863	-0.0576		
D	6·7089 Å	5•4452 Å		

three noneclipsing conformations (Sundaralingam, 1970). The *trans-gauche* form is the least favorable arrangement due to the 1-3 *peri* interaction with O(4) in  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyls. On the  $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl unit, the C(6'')-O(6'')H group has a *gauche-gauche* conformation with torsional angles  $\varphi_{00}$  of  $-49\cdot1^{\circ}$  and  $\varphi_{C0}$  of 74.6°. Using the same criteria, this should be the

(c)

least favorable conformation because of the *peri* interaction with O(4''). Presumably, the stabilization gained through intermolecular hydrogen bonding is enough to overcome the intramolecular repulsive forces of the '*peri*' interaction. The fructose C(1')–O(1')H group has a gauche-gauche conformation with  $\varphi_{OO} = -65.6^{\circ}$  and  $\varphi_{CO} = 52.1^{\circ}$ . This conformation positions the hydroxy under the ring, avoiding both the possible '*peri*' interactions with O(1) and O(3').

#### Hydrogen bonding

The planteose molecules are arranged in a complex system of hydrogen bonds (Fig. 6). Most of the hydroxyls act as both a donor and an acceptor, except O(4') and O(4'') which act only as donors. There are two separate systems of hydrogen bonds. The first consists of two cross-linked chains [Fig. 7(*a*)]. One chain is formed by alternating O(3) and O(3') atoms. The other chain consists of six hydroxyl oxygen atoms and the water atom O(W1). The water molecule also acts as the cross link forming a hydrogen bond to O(3), as well as accepting a fourth bond from O(4'). In the second

c(6')

C(5)

0(2')

c (t')



Fig]4. Conformation of the  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$  linkage in (a) planteose, (b) raffinose, (c) sucrose, and (d) 1-kestose, as viewed down a pseudolinkage between C(1) and C(2').

system, the hydrogen-bonded segment consists only of four oxygen atoms (Fig. 7(b)), including the furanosyl ring oxygen atom. There are no intramolecular hydrogen bonds. However, O(2) of a symmetry-related molecule acts as a bridge between O(6) and O(6'') at opposite ends of the molecule. This closes the circular conformation of the molecule through indirect intramolecular hydrogen bonds. A similar type of indirect intramolecular hydrogen bonding is found in raffinose and 1-kestose. Hydrogen-bond distances and angles are given in Table 8.

It is also exceptional that O(W2) donates one hydrogen bond and accepts one bond, thereby leaving one water hydrogen atom unbound.

The author would like to thank Dr N. Seeman for his advice in the use of his Patterson superposition program and Dr R. Shiono for his help on other com-



Fig. 5. Conformation of the  $(6 \rightarrow 1)$  linkage as viewed down the C(6')–O(6') bond in (a) planteose and (b) raffinose.



Fig. 6. Molecular packing and hydrogen bonding in planteose dihydrate.

Symmetry

putational aspects of this work. He would also like to thank Drs G. A. Jeffrey and R. D. Rosenstein for their valuable suggestions. This research was supported by the U.S. Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, through Grant No. GM-11293.

Table 8. Hydrogen-bond distances and angles

i	j k	d(jk)	∠(ijk)	operation on k*
C(2)	$O(2) \rightarrow O(6'')$	2·664 Å	119·6°	1 545
C(3)	$O(3) \rightarrow O(3')$	2.925	120.4	1 554
C(4)	$O(4) \rightarrow O(6)$	2.699	110.5	3 564
C(6)	$O(6) \rightarrow O(2)$	2·791	100.9	1 565
C(1')	$O(1') \rightarrow O(W1)$	2.781	98.7	3 555
C(3')	$O(3') \rightarrow O(3)$	2.861	140.2	3 555
C(4')	$O(4') \rightarrow O(W1)$	2.849	111.6	3 565
C(2'')	$O(2'') \rightarrow O(2')$	2.882	112.8	2 556
C(3'')	$O(3'') \rightarrow O(1')$	2.670	123.1	2 556
C(4'')	$O(4'') \rightarrow O(W2)$	2.818	101.9	2 555
C(6'')	$O(6'') \rightarrow O(3'')$	2.815	140.0	2 555
	$O(W1) \rightarrow O(3)$	3.094		3 555
	$O(W1) \rightarrow O(4)$	2.704		1 555
	$O(W2) \rightarrow O(2'')$	2.808		2 546

\* First number indicates symmetry operation performed; the second set of numbers specifies the lattice translation (Johnson, 1965).

1.	л	У	4
2.	-x	$\frac{1}{2} + y$	$\frac{1}{2} - z$
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - x$	$\tilde{-y}$	
4.	$\frac{1}{2} + x$	$\frac{1}{2} - y$	~ — z

#### References

- American Chemical Society, Committee on Carbohydrate Nomenclature (1969). Proposed Rules for Nomenclature of Conformations of Furanoid and Pyranoid Carbohydrates.
- BERMAN, H. M. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 290.
- BERMAN, H. M., CHU, S. C. & JEFFREY, G. A. (1967). Science, 157, 1576.
- BROWN, G. M. & LEVY, H. A. (1963). Science, 141, 920.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104.
- FRENCH, D. (1954). Advanc. Carbohyd. Chem. 9, 149.



-

Fig.7. The two hydrogen bonding systems in planteose dihydrate.

(b)

- HALL, S. R. (1967). Direct Phasing Method. UWAC-17, Univ. of Western Australia. Revised for IBM 7090 by H. M. BERMAN.
- HUGHES, E. W. (1941). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 63, 1737. JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- JEFFREY, G. A. & PARK, Y. J. (1972). Acta Cryst. B 28, 257.
- JEFFREY, G. A. & ROSENSTEIN, R. D. (1964). Advanc. Carbohyd. Chem. 19, 7.
- KARLE, J. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 182.
- SEEMAN, N. (1970). Tech. Report TR-70-1. Dept. of Crystallography, Univ. of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa.
- SHIONO, R. (1969). Unpublished work.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175.
- SUNDARALINGAM, M. (1965). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 599.
- SUNDARALINGAM, M. (1968). Biopolymers, 6, 189.
- SUNDARALINGAM, M. (1970). Submitted for publication.
- SUNDARALINGAM, M. (1971). Private communication.
- WATTIEZ, N. & HANS, M. (1943). Bull. Acad. Roy. Med. Belg. 8, 386.